विवरण-III

उत्तर प्रदेश के उन शहरों की सूची, जहां से राज्य के भीतर अन्य शहरों के लिए प्वाइंट-टू-प्वाइंट एस टी डी सुविधा उपलब्ध है :

1. कोसीकलां–आगरा
2. मिर्जापुर–वाराणसी
3. मेरठ
4. मुजफ्फरनगर
5. मोदीनगर
6. हापुड़
7. सहारनपुर
8. रामपुर–मुरादाबाद
9. सीतापुर–लखनऊ
10. फैजाबाद–लखनऊ
11. देवबंध–सहारनपुर
12. देहरादून–मंसूरी

NDPDC Report not a sound basis for Drug Policy

159. SHRI NAND KISHORE BHATT : Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state :

(a) whether his attention has been drawn to the news item captioned "NDPDC report not sound basis for Drugs Policy" which appeared in *Indian Express* of 12th October, 1985 ;

(b) if so, whether it is a fact that NDPDC report has reversed the basic policy of self-sufficiency of Hathi Committee ;

(c) whether it is a fact that Government have not strictly enforced the manufacture of bulk drugs from basic stages by foreign companies as recommended by Hathi Committee and as provided under Drug Policy, 1978 and given them a free rein to import penultimate and through transfer pricing, charge high prices of drugs ;

(d) what are the details of such recommendations in Hathi Committee and provisions in Drug Policy and the reasons for non-implementations ; and

(e) who is responsible and what action has been taken in this regard ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH) : (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government has not formed any final opinion on this report.

(c) In the absence of amendments of the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act, 1951 it was not possible to fully enforce paragraph 21 of the 1978 Drug Policy Statement. No instance of transfer pricing has come to the notice of the Government and imports have been allowed in accordance with the import and export policy in force from time to time.

(d) and (e) The decisions of the Government on the Hathi Commi-

ttee were announced in the statement on Drug Policy which was laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha on 24th April, 1978. Almost all the 104 paragraphs of the 1978 Drug Policy, have been fully implemented. The details and reasons are set out in the answer to Unstarred Question No. 131 answered on 21st January, 1985. (*See below*).

Change in Drug Policy, 1978

131. DR. JOSEPH LEON D'SOUZA : Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is any proposal to change the Drug Policy, 1978 and if so, what are the reasons therefor ;

(b) what are the objectives proposed to be achieved by changing the Drug Policy, 1978 and how would they be achieved ;

(c) what are the provisions of the Drug Policy, 1978 which have either not been implemented or partially implemented and what are the reasons for such a state of affairs ;

(d) what are the provisions of 1978 Policy due to which the objectives of the Policy could not be achieved ;

(e) what are the details of these provisions and in what manner and how they could not give the desired results ;

(f) whether it is also a fact that neither profitability of multinational drug companies have been studied nor the stages from where they are manufacturing drugs have been checked ; and

(g) if so, what are the detailed reasons therefor ?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL) : (a) and (b) Government have taken up the review of the 1978 Drug Policy with a view to further improve the Policy frame.

(c) to (e) Of the 104 paragraphs of the Drug Policy Statement, 1978 all but 20 paragraphs have been implemented. Out of these 20 paragraphs provisions of paragraphs 12 (xiv), 37, 41, 43, 49, 57, 59, 66, 67, 68, 73, 75, 91 and 92 of Drug Policy 1978 have not so far been implemented. Provisions of paragraphs 21, 38, 42, 63, 71 and 95 of the said Policy have been partially implemented. The non/partial implementation is due to the reasons such as the need to amend the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, practical problems experienced during implementation.

(f) and (g) No, Sir. A Committee on profitability which set up to go into the allegations of unduly large profits of foreign companies submitted its report in July, 1979. The Committee studied the profitability of 47 companies having significant foreign equity and found that the overall profitability in the past seven years has declined to a level which cannot now be considered excessive although it still compares well with that realised in the more profitable areas of industrial activity in the country.

Drug Price Control Order

160. SHRI NAND KISHORE BHATT : Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state :

(a) whether his attention has been drawn to the Editorial Captioned Drug Price Control Order which appeared in *Financial Express*, dated the 22nd October, 1985 ;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Drug Industry has suggested overall pre-tax profit ceiling in place of product-wise price control;

(c) whether it is a fact that profitability of Drug Industry is one of the highest ;

(d) whether it is also a fact that in spite of overcharging the prices o